



# A variational analysis of a class of dynamic problems with slip dependent friction

Marius Cocou

## ► To cite this version:

Marius Cocou. A variational analysis of a class of dynamic problems with slip dependent friction. Annals of the University of Bucharest. Mathematical series, 2014, 5 (LXIII) (2), pp.279-297. hal-01098604

**HAL Id: hal-01098604**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01098604>**

Submitted on 27 Dec 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# A variational analysis of a class of dynamic problems with slip dependent friction

MARIUS COCOU

DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR NICOLAE CRISTESCU  
ON THE OCCASION OF HIS EIGHTY FIFTH BIRTHDAY

**Abstract** - This work is concerned with the extension of some recent existence results proved for a class of nonsmooth dynamic frictional contact problem, to the case of a coefficient of friction depending on the slip velocity. Based on existence and approximation results for some general implicit variational inequalities, which are established by using Ky Fan's fixed point theorem, several estimates and compactness arguments, relaxed unilateral conditions with slip dependent friction between two viscoelastic bodies of Kelvin-Voigt type are analyzed.

**Key words and phrases** : Implicit inequalities, set-valued mapping, dynamic problems, pointwise conditions, Coulomb friction.

**Mathematics Subject Classification** (2010) : 35Q74, 49J40, 74A55, 74D05, 74H20

## 1 Introduction

This paper deals with the analysis of a dynamic contact problem with some relaxed unilateral contact conditions, adhesion, and slip dependent pointwise friction, between two Kelvin-Voigt viscoelastic bodies.

The quasistatic unilateral contact problems with local Coulomb friction have been studied in [1, 29, 30] and adhesion laws based on the evolution of intensity of adhesion were investigated in [28, 10]. Also, the normal compliance model, which can be seen as a particular regularization of the Signorini's conditions, has been considered by several authors, see e.g. [18, 16, 31] and references therein.

A recent unified approach including unilateral and bilateral contact with nonlocal friction, or normal compliance conditions, in the quasistatic case and for a nonlinear elastic behavior, has been proposed in [2].

In the dynamic case, viscoelastic contact problems with nonlocal friction laws were considered in [17, 20, 21, 14, 6, 11] and the corresponding problems with normal compliance laws have been analyzed in [23, 18, 19, 5, 24].

Dynamic frictionless problems with adhesion have been studied by several authors, see, e.g [33] and references therein, and dynamic viscoelastic problems coupling unilateral contact, recoverable adhesion and nonlocal friction have been investigated in [12, 8]. Using the Clarke subdifferential, various variational contact problems can be analyzed by using the theory of hemivariational inequalities, which represent a broad generalization of the variational inequalities to locally Lipschitz functions, see [24, 25, 26] and references therein.

Based on new abstract formulations and on Ky Fan's fixed point theorem, a static contact problem with relaxed unilateral conditions and pointwise Coulomb friction was studied in [27]. The extension of this interesting approach to an elastic quasistatic contact problem was considered in [7] and to a dynamic viscoelastic contact problem with slip independent coefficient of friction was investigated in [9].

This paper extends the results presented in [9] to the case of a coefficient of friction depending on the slip velocity, which enables to treat more realistic situations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the classical formulation of the dynamic contact problem is presented. In Section 3 two variational formulations are given as a two-field problem. In Section 4 a more general evolution implicit variational inequality is considered and some auxiliary results are proved. Section 5 is devoted to the study of a fixed point problem, which is equivalent to the previous variational inequality. Using the Ky Fan's theorem, the existence of a fixed point is proved. In Section 6 this abstract result is used to prove the existence of a variational solution of the dynamic contact problem with slip dependent friction.

The applications presented in this paper concern the contact between two linear viscoelastic bodies but these results can be extended to more general constitutive laws, as, for example, the ones characterizing some elastoviscoplastic materials investigated in [13].

## 2 Classical formulation

Let  $\Omega^\alpha$  be the reference domains of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d = 2$  or  $3$ , occupied by two viscoelastic bodies, characterized by a Kelvin-Voigt constitutive law. Suppose that the bodies have Lipschitz boundaries  $\Gamma^\alpha = \partial\Omega^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ .

Let  $\Gamma_U^\alpha$ ,  $\Gamma_F^\alpha$  and  $\Gamma_C^\alpha$  be three open disjoint sufficiently smooth parts of  $\Gamma^\alpha$  such that  $\Gamma^\alpha = \bar{\Gamma}_U^\alpha \cup \bar{\Gamma}_F^\alpha \cup \bar{\Gamma}_C^\alpha$  and, to simplify the estimates,  $\text{meas}(\Gamma_U^\alpha) > 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ . We shall assume the small deformation hypothesis and we shall use Cartesian coordinate representations.

Let  $\mathbf{y}^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^\alpha, t)$  denote the position at time  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $0 < T < +\infty$ , of the material point represented by  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha$  in the reference configuration, and  $\mathbf{u}^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^\alpha, t) := \mathbf{y}^\alpha(\mathbf{x}^\alpha, t) - \mathbf{x}^\alpha$  denote the displacement vector of  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha$  at time

$t$ , with the Cartesian coordinates  $u^\alpha = (u_1^\alpha, \dots, u_d^\alpha) = (\bar{u}^\alpha, u_d^\alpha)$ . Let  $\varepsilon^\alpha$ , with the Cartesian coordinates  $\varepsilon^\alpha = (\varepsilon_{ij}^\alpha(u^\alpha))$ , and  $\sigma^\alpha$ , with the Cartesian coordinates  $\sigma^\alpha = (\sigma_{ij}^\alpha)$ , be the infinitesimal strain tensor and the stress tensor, respectively, corresponding to  $\Omega^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ . The usual summation convention will be used for  $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, d$ .

Assume that the displacement  $\mathbf{U}^\alpha = \mathbf{0}$  on  $\Gamma_U^\alpha \times (0, T)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ , and that the densities of both bodies are equal to 1. Let  $\mathbf{f}_1 = (\mathbf{f}_1^1, \mathbf{f}_1^2)$  denote the given body forces in  $\Omega^1 \cup \Omega^2$  and  $\mathbf{f}_2 = (\mathbf{f}_2^1, \mathbf{f}_2^2)$  denote the tractions on  $\Gamma_F^1 \cup \Gamma_F^2$ . The initial displacements and velocities of the bodies are denoted by  $\mathbf{u}_0 = (\mathbf{u}_0^1, \mathbf{u}_0^2)$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_1 = (\mathbf{u}_1^1, \mathbf{u}_1^2)$ .

Suppose that the solids can be in contact between the potential contact surfaces  $\Gamma_C^1$  and  $\Gamma_C^2$  which are parametrized by two  $C^1$  functions,  $\varphi^1, \varphi^2$ , defined on an open and bounded subset  $\Xi$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ , such that  $\varphi^1(\xi) - \varphi^2(\xi) \geq 0 \ \forall \xi \in \Xi$  and each  $\Gamma_C^\alpha$  is the graph of  $\varphi^\alpha$  on  $\Xi$  that is  $\Gamma_C^\alpha = \{(\xi, \varphi^\alpha(\xi)) \in \mathbb{R}^d; \xi \in \Xi\}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ . Define the initial normalized gap between the two contact surfaces by

$$g_0(\xi) = \frac{\varphi^1(\xi) - \varphi^2(\xi)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi^1(\xi)|^2}} \quad \forall \xi \in \Xi$$

and suppose that this initial gap is sufficiently small. Let  $\mathbf{n}^\alpha$  denote the unit outward normal vector to  $\Gamma^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ . We shall use the following notations for the normal and tangential components of a displacement field  $\mathbf{v}^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ , of the relative displacement corresponding to  $\mathbf{v} := (\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{v}^2)$  and of the stress vector  $\sigma^\alpha \mathbf{n}^\alpha$  on  $\Gamma_C^\alpha$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^\alpha(\xi, t) &:= \mathbf{v}^\alpha(\xi, \varphi^\alpha(\xi), t), \quad v_N^\alpha(\xi, t) := \mathbf{v}^\alpha(\xi, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}^\alpha(\xi), \\ v_N(\xi, t) &:= v_N^1(\xi, t) + v_N^2(\xi, t), \quad [v_N](\xi, t) := v_N(\xi, t) - g_0(\xi), \\ \mathbf{v}_T^\alpha(\xi, t) &:= \mathbf{v}^\alpha(\xi, t) - v_N^\alpha(\xi, t) \mathbf{n}^\alpha(\xi), \quad \mathbf{v}_T(\xi, t) := \mathbf{v}_T^1(\xi, t) - \mathbf{v}_T^2(\xi, t), \\ \sigma_N^\alpha(\xi, t) &:= (\sigma^\alpha(\xi, t) \mathbf{n}^\alpha(\xi)) \cdot \mathbf{n}^\alpha(\xi), \\ \sigma_T^\alpha(\xi, t) &:= \sigma^\alpha(\xi, t) \mathbf{n}^\alpha(\xi) - \sigma_N^\alpha(\xi, t) \mathbf{n}^\alpha(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\xi \in \Xi$  and for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Let  $g := -[u_N] = g_0 - u_N^1 - u_N^2$  be the gap corresponding to the solution  $\mathbf{u} := (\mathbf{u}^1, \mathbf{u}^2)$ . Using a similar method as the one presented in [3] (see also [11], [8]) we obtain the following unilateral contact condition at time  $t$  in the set  $\Xi$ :  $[u_N](\xi, t) = -g(\xi, t) \leq 0 \ \forall \xi \in \Xi$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}^\alpha, \mathcal{B}^\alpha$  denote two fourth-order tensors, the elasticity tensor and the viscosity tensor corresponding to  $\Omega^\alpha$ , with the components  $\mathcal{A}^\alpha = (\mathcal{A}_{ijkl}^\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{B}^\alpha = (\mathcal{B}_{ijkl}^\alpha)$ , respectively. Assume that these components satisfy the following classical symmetry and ellipticity conditions:  $\mathcal{C}_{ijkl}^\alpha = \mathcal{C}_{jikl}^\alpha = \mathcal{C}_{klij}^\alpha \in L^\infty(\Omega^\alpha)$ ,  $\forall i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $\exists \alpha_{C^\alpha} > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_{ijkl}^\alpha \tau_{ij} \tau_{kl} \geq \alpha_{C^\alpha} \tau_{ij} \tau_{ij} \ \forall \tau = (\tau_{ij})$  verifying  $\tau_{ij} = \tau_{ji}$ ,  $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, d$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_{ijkl}^\alpha = \mathcal{A}_{ijkl}^\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha = \mathcal{A}^\alpha$  or  $\mathcal{C}_{ijkl}^\alpha = \mathcal{B}_{ijkl}^\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha = \mathcal{B}^\alpha \ \forall i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ .

Let  $\mu = \mu(\xi, \dot{\mathbf{u}}_T)$  be the slip rate dependent coefficient of friction and assume that  $\mu : \Xi \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a bounded function such that for a.e.  $\xi \in \Xi$   $\mu(\xi, \cdot)$  is Lipschitz continuous with the Lipschitz constant, denoted by  $C_\mu$ , independent of  $\xi$ , and for every  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$   $\mu(\cdot, v)$  is measurable.

Let  $\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two mappings with  $\underline{\kappa}$  lower semicontinuous and  $\bar{\kappa}$  upper semicontinuous, satisfying the following conditions:

$$\underline{\kappa}(s) \leq \bar{\kappa}(s) \text{ and } 0 \notin (\underline{\kappa}(s), \bar{\kappa}(s)) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\exists r_0 \geq 0 \text{ such that } \max(|\underline{\kappa}(s)|, |\bar{\kappa}(s)|) \leq r_0 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.2)$$

We consider the following dynamic viscoelastic contact problem.

**Problem  $P_c$ :** Find  $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}^1, \mathbf{u}^2)$  such that  $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$ ,  $\dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \mathbf{u}_1$  and, for all  $t \in (0, T)$ ,

$$\ddot{\mathbf{u}}^\alpha - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^\alpha(\mathbf{u}^\alpha, \dot{\mathbf{u}}^\alpha) = \mathbf{f}_1^\alpha \quad \text{in } \Omega^\alpha, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\alpha(\mathbf{u}^\alpha, \dot{\mathbf{u}}^\alpha) = \mathcal{A}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}^\alpha) + \mathcal{B}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}^\alpha) \quad \text{in } \Omega^\alpha, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\mathbf{u}^\alpha = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_U^\alpha, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}^\alpha \mathbf{n}^\alpha = \mathbf{f}_2^\alpha \quad \text{on } \Gamma_F^\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^1 \mathbf{n}^1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2 \mathbf{n}^2 = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } \Xi, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\underline{\kappa}([u_N]) \leq \sigma_N \leq \bar{\kappa}([u_N]) \quad \text{in } \Xi, \quad (2.7)$$

$$|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_T| \leq \mu(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_T) |\sigma_N| \quad \text{in } \Xi \quad \text{and} \quad (2.8)$$

$$|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_T| < \mu(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_T) |\sigma_N| \Rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{u}}_T = \mathbf{0},$$

$$|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_T| = \mu(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_T) |\sigma_N| \Rightarrow \exists \vartheta \geq 0, \quad \dot{\mathbf{u}}_T = -\vartheta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_T,$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\alpha = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^\alpha(\mathbf{u}^\alpha, \dot{\mathbf{u}}^\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ ,  $\sigma_N := \sigma_N^1$  and  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_T := \boldsymbol{\sigma}_T^1$ .

Some contact and friction conditions, corresponding to particular  $\underline{\kappa}$  and  $\bar{\kappa}$ , with a general coefficient of friction, are presented in the following examples.

*Example 1. (Adhesion and friction conditions)* Let  $s_0 \geq 0$ ,  $M \geq 0$  be constants,  $k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that  $k \geq 0$  with  $k(0) = 0$  and define

$$\underline{\kappa}(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \leq -s_0, \\ k(s) & \text{if } -s_0 < s < 0, \\ -M & \text{if } s \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad \bar{\kappa}(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s < -s_0, \\ k(s) & \text{if } -s_0 \leq s \leq 0, \\ -M & \text{if } s > 0. \end{cases}$$

*Example 2. (Friction condition)*

In Example 1 we set  $k = s_0 = 0$  and define

$$\underline{\kappa}_M(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s < 0, \\ -M & \text{if } s \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad \bar{\kappa}_M(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \leq 0, \\ -M & \text{if } s > 0. \end{cases}$$

The classical Signorini's conditions correspond formally to  $M = +\infty$ .

*Example 3. (General normal compliance conditions)*

Various normal compliance conditions, friction and adhesion laws can be obtained from the previous general formulation if one considers  $\underline{\kappa} = \bar{\kappa} = \kappa$ , where  $\kappa : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is some bounded Lipschitz continuous function with  $\kappa(0) = 0$ , so that  $\sigma_N$  is given by the relation  $\sigma_N = \kappa([u_N])$ , see e.g. [8], where the intensity of adhesion was also considered.

### 3 Variational formulations

We shall consider two different variational formulations of problem  $P_c$ . We adopt the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega^\alpha) &:= H^s(\Omega^\alpha; \mathbb{R}^d), \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad \mathbf{H}^s := \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega^1) \times \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega^2), \\ \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{-s,s} &= \langle \mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{w}^1 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-s}(\Omega^1) \times \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega^1)} + \langle \mathbf{v}^2, \mathbf{w}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-s}(\Omega^2) \times \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega^2)} \\ \forall \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{v}^2) &\in \mathbf{H}^{-s}, \quad \forall \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}^1, \mathbf{w}^2) \in \mathbf{H}^s, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the Hilbert spaces  $(\mathbf{H}, |\cdot|)$  with the associated inner product denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $(\mathbf{V}, \|\cdot\|)$  with the associated inner product (of  $\mathbf{H}^1$ ) denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and the closed convex cones  $L_+^2(\Xi)$ ,  $L_+^2(\Xi \times (0, T))$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H} &:= \mathbf{H}^0 = L^2(\Omega^1; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega^2; \mathbb{R}^d), \quad \mathbf{V} := \mathbf{V}^1 \times \mathbf{V}^2, \quad \text{where} \\ \mathbf{V}^\alpha &= \{\mathbf{v}^\alpha \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega^\alpha); \mathbf{v}^\alpha = \mathbf{0} \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma_U^\alpha\}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \\ L_+^2(\Xi) &:= \{\delta \in L^2(\Xi); \delta \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Xi\}, \\ L_+^2(\Xi \times (0, T)) &:= \{\eta \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi)); \eta \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Xi \times (0, T)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a, b$  be two bilinear, continuous and symmetric mappings defined on  $\mathbf{H}^1 \times \mathbf{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} a(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) &= a^1(\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{w}^1) + a^2(\mathbf{v}^2, \mathbf{w}^2), \quad b(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = b^1(\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{w}^1) + b^2(\mathbf{v}^2, \mathbf{w}^2) \\ \forall \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{v}^2), \quad \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}^1, \mathbf{w}^2) &\in \mathbf{H}^1, \quad \text{where, for } \alpha = 1, 2, \\ a^\alpha(\mathbf{v}^\alpha, \mathbf{w}^\alpha) &= \int_{\Omega^\alpha} \mathcal{A}^\alpha \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}^\alpha) \cdot \varepsilon(\mathbf{w}^\alpha) dx, \quad b^\alpha(\mathbf{v}^\alpha, \mathbf{w}^\alpha) = \int_{\Omega^\alpha} \mathcal{B}^\alpha \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}^\alpha) \cdot \varepsilon(\mathbf{w}^\alpha) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $\mathbf{f}_1^\alpha \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Omega^\alpha; \mathbb{R}^d))$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_2^\alpha \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_F^\alpha; \mathbb{R}^d))$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1 \in \mathbf{V}$ ,  $g_0 \in L_+^2(\Xi)$ , and define the following mappings:

$$\begin{aligned} J : L^2(\Xi) \times (\mathbf{H}^1)^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad J(\delta, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \int_{\Xi} \mu(\mathbf{v}_T) |\delta| |\mathbf{w}_T| d\xi \\ \forall \delta &\in L^2(\Xi), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{v}^2), \quad \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}^1, \mathbf{w}^2) \in \mathbf{H}^1, \\ \mathbf{f} &\in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1), \quad \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1,2} \int_{\Omega^\alpha} \mathbf{f}_1^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{v}^\alpha dx + \sum_{\alpha=1,2} \int_{\Gamma_F^\alpha} \mathbf{f}_2^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{v}^\alpha ds \\ \forall \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{v}^2) &\in \mathbf{H}^1, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Assume the following compatibility conditions:  $[u_{0N}] \leq 0$ ,  $\bar{\kappa}([u_{0N}]) = 0$  a.e. in  $\Xi$  and  $\exists \mathbf{p}_0 \in \mathbf{H}$  such that

$$(\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{w}) + a(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}) + b(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{w}) = \langle \mathbf{f}(0), \mathbf{w} \rangle \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}. \quad (3.1)$$

For every  $\zeta \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi)) = L^2(\Xi \times (0, T))$ , define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\zeta) &= \{\eta \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi)); \underline{\kappa} \circ \zeta \leq \eta \leq \bar{\kappa} \circ \zeta \text{ a.e. in } \Xi \times (0, T)\}, \\ \Lambda_+(\zeta) &= \{\eta \in L_+^2(\Xi \times (0, T)); \underline{\kappa}_+ \circ \zeta \leq \eta \leq \bar{\kappa}_+ \circ \zeta \text{ a.e. in } \Xi \times (0, T)\}, \\ \Lambda_-(\zeta) &= \{\eta \in L_+^2(\Xi \times (0, T)); \bar{\kappa}_- \circ \zeta \leq \eta \leq \underline{\kappa}_- \circ \zeta \text{ a.e. in } \Xi \times (0, T)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where, for each  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r_+ := \max(0, r)$  and  $r_- := \max(0, -r)$  denote the positive and negative parts, respectively.

For each  $\zeta \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$  the sets  $\Lambda(\zeta)$ ,  $\Lambda_+(\zeta)$  and  $\Lambda_-(\zeta)$  are clearly closed, convex and nonempty, because the bounding functions belong to the respective set. Since  $\text{meas}(\Xi) < \infty$  and  $\underline{\kappa}$ ,  $\bar{\kappa}$  satisfy (2.2), it follows that for all  $\zeta \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$  these three sets are also bounded in norm in  $L^\infty(\Xi \times (0, T))$  by  $r_0$ , and in  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$  by  $r_1 = r_0 T^{1/2} \text{meas}(\Xi)^{1/2}$ .

A first variational formulation of the problem  $P_c$  is the following.

**Problem  $P_v^1$ :** Find  $\mathbf{u} \in C^1([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^{-\iota}) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbf{V})$ ,  $\lambda \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$  such that  $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$ ,  $\dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \mathbf{u}_1$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda([u_N])$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \dot{\mathbf{u}}(T), \mathbf{v}(T) - \mathbf{u}(T) \rangle_{-\iota, \iota} - (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}(0) - \mathbf{u}_0) - \int_0^T (\dot{\mathbf{u}}, \dot{\mathbf{v}} - \dot{\mathbf{u}}) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \{a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) + b(\dot{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) - (\lambda, v_N - u_N)_{L^2(\Xi)}\} dt \\ & + \int_0^T \{J(\lambda, \dot{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v} + k\dot{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}) - J(\lambda, \dot{\mathbf{u}}, k\dot{\mathbf{u}})\} dt \geq \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} \rangle dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbf{H}), \text{ where } 1 > \iota > \frac{1}{2}, k > 0.$$

The formal equivalence between the variational problem  $P_v^1$  and the classical problem (2.3)–(2.8) can be easily proved by using Green's formula and an integration by parts, where the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda$  satisfies the relation  $\lambda = \sigma_N$ .

Let  $\phi : (L_+^2(\Xi))^2 \times (\mathbf{V})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$\phi(\delta_1, \delta_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = -(\delta_1 - \delta_2, w_N)_{L^2(\Xi)} + \int_\Xi \mu(\mathbf{v}_T) (\delta_1 + \delta_2) |\mathbf{w}_T| d\xi \quad (3.3)$$

$$\forall (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in (L_+^2(\Xi))^2, \forall \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{v}^2), \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}^1, \mathbf{w}^2) \in \mathbf{V}.$$

Since  $\eta \in \Lambda(\zeta)$  if and only if  $(\eta_+, \eta_-) \in \Lambda_+(\zeta) \times \Lambda_-(\zeta)$ , it follows that the variational problem  $P_v^1$  is equivalent with the following problem.

**Problem  $P_v^2$ :** Find  $\mathbf{u} \in C^1([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^{-\iota}) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbf{V})$ ,  $\lambda \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$  such that  $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$ ,  $\dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \mathbf{u}_1$ ,  $(\lambda_+, \lambda_-) \in \Lambda_+([u_N]) \times \Lambda_-([u_N])$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \dot{\mathbf{u}}(T), \mathbf{v}(T) - \mathbf{u}(T) \rangle_{-\iota, \iota} - (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}(0) - \mathbf{u}_0) \\
& + \int_0^T \{ -(\dot{\mathbf{u}}, \dot{\mathbf{v}} - \dot{\mathbf{u}}) + a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) + b(\dot{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \} dt \\
& + \int_0^T \{ \phi(\lambda_+, \lambda_-, \dot{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v} + k\dot{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}) - \phi(\lambda_+, \lambda_-, \dot{\mathbf{u}}, k\dot{\mathbf{u}}) \} dt \\
& \geq \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} \rangle dt \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbf{H}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The existence of variational solutions of the problem  $P_c$  will follow from some general existence results that will be proved in the next sections.

## 4 Existence results for some variational inequalities

Let  $U_0$ ,  $(V_0, \|\cdot\|, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ ,  $(U, \|\cdot\|_U)$  and  $(H_0, |\cdot|, (\cdot, \cdot))$  be four Hilbert spaces such that  $U_0$  is a closed linear subspace of  $V_0$  dense in  $H_0$ ,  $V_0 \subset U \subseteq H_0$  with continuous embeddings and the embedding from  $V_0$  into  $U$  is compact.

Let  $B_r(\Xi)$ ,  $B_r(\Xi_T)$  denote the closed balls with center 0 and radius  $r$  in  $L^\infty(\Xi)$ ,  $L^\infty(\Xi_T)$ , respectively, where  $\Xi_T := \Xi \times (0, T)$  and  $r > 0$ .

Let  $a_0, b_0 : V_0 \times V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two bilinear and symmetric forms such that

$$\exists M_a, M_b > 0 \quad a_0(u, v) \leq M_a \|u\| \|v\|, \quad b_0(u, v) \leq M_b \|u\| \|v\|, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\exists m_a, m_b > 0 \quad a_0(v, v) \geq m_a \|v\|^2, \quad b_0(v, v) \geq m_b \|v\|^2 \quad \forall u, v \in V_0. \tag{4.2}$$

Let  $l : V_0 \rightarrow L^2(\Xi)$  and  $\phi_0 : [0, T] \times (L_+^2(\Xi))^2 \times (V_0)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two mappings satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists k_1 > 0 \text{ such that } \forall v_1, v_2 \in V_0, \\
& \|l(v_1) - l(v_2)\|_{L^2(\Xi)} \leq k_1 \|v_1 - v_2\|_U,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in L_+^2(\Xi), \quad \forall v, v_1, v_2 \in V_0, \\
& \phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, v_1 + v_2) \leq \phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, v_1) + \phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, v_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, \theta v_1) = \theta \phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, v_1), \quad \forall \theta \geq 0, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, w) = 0, \quad \forall w \in U_0, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\phi_0(0, 0, 0, 0, v) = 0, \tag{4.7}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall r > 0, \exists k_2(r) > 0 \text{ such that } \forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, T], \\
& \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_1, \delta_2 \in L_+^2(\Xi) \cap B_r(\Xi), \forall v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 \in V_0, \\
& |\phi_0(t_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v_1, w_1) - \phi_0(t_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v_1, w_2) \\
& + \phi_0(t_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, v_2, w_2) - \phi_0(t_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, v_2, w_1)| \\
& \leq k_2(r)(|t_1 - t_2| + \|\gamma_1 - \delta_1\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2 - \delta_2\|_{L^2(\Xi)} \\
& + \|v_1 - v_2\|_U)\|w_1 - w_2\|_U,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{if } (\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n) \in (L_+^2(\Xi_T))^2 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \\
& \text{and } (\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n) \rightharpoonup (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \text{ in } (L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi)))^2, \text{ then} \\
& \int_0^T \phi_0(s, \gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, v, w) ds \rightarrow \int_0^T \phi_0(s, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, w) ds \quad \forall v, w \in L^2(0, T; V_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

**Remark 4.1** i) Since by (4.5)  $\phi_0(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, 0) = 0$ , from (4.8), for  $w_1 = w$ ,  $w_2 = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, T], \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_1, \delta_2 \in L_+^2(\Xi) \cap B_r(\Xi), \forall v_1, v_2, w \in V_0, \\
& |\phi_0(t_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v_1, w) - \phi_0(t_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, v_2, w)| \\
& \leq k_2(r)(|t_1 - t_2| + \|\gamma_1 - \delta_1\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2 - \delta_2\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|v_1 - v_2\|_U)\|w\|_U.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

ii) From (4.7) and (4.8), for  $t_1 = t$ ,  $t_2 = 0$ ,  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$  and  $v_1 = v$ ,  $v_2 = 0$  we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall t \in [0, T], \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in L_+^2(\Xi) \cap B_r(\Xi), \forall v, w_1, w_2 \in V_0, \\
& |\phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, w_1) - \phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, w_2)| \\
& \leq k_2(r)(t + \|\gamma_1\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|v\|_U)\|w_1 - w_2\|_U.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

iii) If  $v_n \rightarrow v$ ,  $w_m \rightarrow w$  in  $L^2(0, T; U)$ ,  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n) \in (L_+^2(\Xi_T) \cap B_r(\Xi_T))^2$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n) \rightharpoonup (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  in  $(L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi)))^2$ , then

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \phi_0(s, \gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, v_n, w_m) ds \rightarrow \int_0^T \phi_0(s, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, w) ds, \tag{4.12}$$

which can be proved by taking into account (4.11) in the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^T \{\phi_0(s, \gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, v_n, w_m) - \phi_0(s, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, w)\} ds \right| \\
& \leq \int_0^T |\phi_0(s, \gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, v_n, w_m) - \phi_0(s, \gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, v_n, w)| ds \\
& + \int_0^T |\{\phi_0(s, \gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, v_n, w) - \phi_0(s, \gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, v, w)\}| ds \\
& + \left| \int_0^T \{\phi_0(s, \gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, v, w) - \phi_0(s, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, w)\} ds \right| \\
& \leq \int_0^T k_2(r)(\|\gamma_1^n\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2^n\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|v_n\|_U)\|w_m - w\|_U ds \\
& + \int_0^T k_2(r)(\|\gamma_1^n\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2^n\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|v_n - v\|_U)\|w\|_U ds \\
& + \left| \int_0^T \{\phi_0(s, \gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n, v, w) - \phi_0(s, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, v, w)\} ds \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

and passing to limits by using that  $(\gamma_{1,2}^n)_n$  are bounded and (4.9).

Assume that  $f_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; V_0)$ ,  $u^0, u^1 \in V_0$  are given, and that the following compatibility condition holds:  $\bar{\kappa}(l(u^0)) = 0$  and  $\exists p_0 \in H_0$  such that

$$(p_0, w) + a_0(u^0, w) + b_0(u^1, w) = \langle f_0(0), w \rangle \quad \forall w \in V_0. \quad (4.13)$$

We consider the following problem.

**Problem  $Q_1$ :** Find  $u \in W_0$ ,  $\lambda \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$  such that  $u(0) = u^0$ ,  $\dot{u}(0) = u^1$ ,  $(\lambda_+, \lambda_-) \in \Lambda_+(l(u)) \times \Lambda_-(l(u))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \dot{u}(T), v(T) - u(T) \rangle_{U' \times U} - (u_1, v(0) - u_0) \\ & + \int_0^T \{ -(\dot{u}, \dot{v} - \dot{u}) + a_0(u, v - u) + b_0(\dot{u}, v - u) \} dt \\ & + \int_0^T \{ \phi_0(t, \lambda_+, \lambda_-, \dot{u}, v + k\dot{u} - u) - \phi_0(t, \lambda_+, \lambda_-, \dot{u}, k\dot{u}) \} dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T \langle f_0, v - u \rangle dt \quad \forall v \in L^\infty(0, T; V_0) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; H_0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $W_0 := C^1([0, T]; U') \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; V_0)$ .

The sets  $\Lambda_+(\zeta)$ ,  $\Lambda_-(\zeta)$  and  $\Lambda(\zeta)$  have the following useful properties, proved in [8], see also [27].

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $\zeta \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$  and  $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Lambda_+(\zeta) \times \Lambda_-(\zeta)$ . Then  $\eta_1 \eta_2 = 0$  a.e. in  $\Xi_T$  and there exists  $\eta \in \Lambda(\zeta)$  such that  $\eta_+ = \eta_1$ ,  $\eta_- = \eta_2$  a.e. in  $\Xi_T$ .*

Based on the previous lemma, consider the following problem, which has the same solution  $u$  as the problem  $Q_1$ , and the solutions  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  satisfy the relation  $\lambda = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ , where  $\lambda$  is a solution of  $Q_1$ .

**Problem  $Q_2$ :** Find  $u \in W_0$ ,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$  such that  $u(0) = u^0$ ,  $\dot{u}(0) = u^1$ ,  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda_+(l(u)) \times \Lambda_-(l(u))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \dot{u}(T), v(T) - u(T) \rangle_{U' \times U} - (u_1, v(0) - u_0) \\ & + \int_0^T \{ -(\dot{u}, \dot{v} - \dot{u}) + a_0(u, v - u) + b_0(\dot{u}, v - u) \} dt \\ & + \int_0^T \{ \phi_0(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dot{u}, v + k\dot{u} - u) - \phi_0(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dot{u}, k\dot{u}) \} dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T \langle f_0, v - u \rangle dt \quad \forall v \in L^\infty(0, T; V_0) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; H_0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

For the convenience of the reader, an existence and uniqueness result proved in [11] will be restated here under an adapted and more general form that will enable to study problem  $Q_2$ .

Let  $\beta : V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\phi_1 : [0, T] \times V_0^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two sequentially weakly continuous mappings such that

$$\beta(0) = 0 \text{ and } \phi_1(t, z, v, w_1 + w_2) \leq \phi_1(t, z, v, w_1) + \phi_1(t, z, v, w_2), \quad (4.15)$$

$$\phi_1(t, z, v, \theta w) = \theta \phi_1(t, z, v, w), \quad (4.16)$$

$$\phi_1(0, 0, 0, w) = 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall z, v, w, w_{1,2} \in V_0, \quad \forall \theta \geq 0, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists k_3 > 0 \text{ such that } \forall t_{1,2} \in [0, T], \forall u_{1,2}, v_{1,2}, w \in V_0, \\ & |\phi_1(t_1, u_1, v_1, w) - \phi_1(t_2, u_2, v_2, w)| \\ & \leq k_3(|t_1 - t_2| + |\beta(u_1 - u_2)| + \|v_1 - v_2\|_U) \|w\|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists k_4 > 0 \text{ such that } \forall t_{1,2} \in [0, T], \forall u_{1,2}, v_{1,2}, w_{1,2} \in V_0, \\ & |\phi_1(t_1, u_1, v_1, w_1) - \phi_1(t_1, u_1, v_1, w_2) + \phi_1(t_2, u_2, v_2, w_2) \\ & - \phi_1(t_2, u_2, v_2, w_1)| \leq k_4(|t_1 - t_2| + \|u_1 - u_2\| + \|v_1 - v_2\|_U) \|w_1 - w_2\|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Let  $L \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; V_0)$  and assume the following compatibility condition on the initial data:  $\exists p_1 \in H_0$  such that

$$(p_1, w) + a_0(u^0, w) + b_0(u^1, w) + \phi_1(0, u^0, u^1, w) = \langle L(0), w \rangle \quad \forall w \in V_0. \quad (4.20)$$

Consider the following problem.

**Problem  $Q_3$ :** Find  $u \in W^{2,2}(0, T; H_0) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; V_0)$  such that  $u(0) = u^0$ ,  $\dot{u}(0) = u^1$ , and for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\ddot{u}, v - \dot{u}) + a_0(u, v - \dot{u}) + b_0(\dot{u}, v - \dot{u}) \\ & + \phi_1(t, u, \dot{u}, v) - \phi_1(t, u, \dot{u}, \dot{u}) \geq \langle L, v - \dot{u} \rangle \quad \forall v \in V_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

We have the following existence and uniqueness result.

**Theorem 4.1** *Under the assumptions (4.1), (4.2), (4.15)-(4.20), there exists a unique solution to the problem  $Q_3$ .*

The proof, which will be presented in a forthcoming paper, is based on a similar method to that used to prove the Theorem 3.2 established in [11] and on a useful estimate, see [22] or [32], which, when applied to the spaces  $V_0 \subset U \subseteq H_0$ , implies the following result: for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $C_\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|_U \leq \epsilon \|u\| + C_\epsilon |u| \quad \forall u \in V_0. \quad (4.22)$$

**Lemma 4.2** *Assume that (4.1), (4.2), (4.4), (4.5), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.13) hold. If  $r > 0$  then, for each  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in (W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Xi)))^2 \cap (L_+^2(\Xi_T))^2 \cap (B_r(\Xi_T))^2$  with  $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) = 0$ , there exists a unique solution  $u = u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$*

of the following evolution variational inequality: find  $u \in W^{2,2}(0, T; H_0) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; V_0)$  such that  $u(0) = u^0$ ,  $\dot{u}(0) = u^1$ , and for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\ddot{u}, v - \dot{u}) + a_0(u, v - \dot{u}) + b_0(\dot{u}, v - \dot{u}) \\ & + \phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dot{u}, v) - \phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dot{u}, \dot{u}) \geq \langle f_0, v - \dot{u} \rangle \quad \forall v \in V_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

**Proof.** We apply Theorem 4.1 to  $\beta = 0$ ,  $L = f_0$  and

$$\phi_1(t, z, v, w) = \phi_0(t, \gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), v, w) \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall z, v, w \in V_0.$$

Since  $\phi_0$  satisfies (4.4), (4.5) and (4.7), one can easily verify the properties (4.15)-(4.17). Also, (4.13) and (4.17) imply the condition (4.20).

Using (4.8), for some  $k_2 = k_2(r) > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall t_{1,2} \in [0, T], \quad \forall u_{1,2}, v_{1,2}, w_{1,2} \in V_0, \\ & |\phi_1(t_1, u_1, v_1, w_1) - \phi_1(t_1, u_1, v_1, w_2) + \phi_1(t_2, u_2, v_2, w_2) - \phi_1(t_2, u_2, v_2, w_1)| \\ & = |\phi_0(t_1, \gamma_1(t_1), \gamma_2(t_1), v_1, w_1) - \phi_0(t_1, \gamma_1(t_1), \gamma_2(t_1), v_1, w_2)| \\ & \quad + \phi_0(t_2, \gamma_1(t_2), \gamma_2(t_2), v_2, w_2) - \phi_0(t_2, \gamma_1(t_2), \gamma_2(t_2), v_2, w_1)| \\ & \leq k_2(|t_1 - t_2| + \|\gamma_1(t_1) - \gamma_1(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2(t_1) - \gamma_2(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Xi)} \\ & \quad + \|v_1 - v_2\|_U) \|w_1 - w_2\|_U \\ & \leq k_2((1 + C_{\gamma_1} + C_{\gamma_2})|t_1 - t_2| + \|v_1 - v_2\|_U) \|w_1 - w_2\|_U, \\ & \leq k_5(|t_1 - t_2| + \|v_1 - v_2\|_U) \|w_1 - w_2\|_U, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{\gamma_1}$ ,  $C_{\gamma_2}$  denote the Lipschitz constants of  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ , respectively, and  $k_5 = k_2(1 + C_{\gamma_1} + C_{\gamma_2})$ .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_1(t_1, u_1, v_1, w_1) - \phi_1(t_1, u_1, v_1, w_2) + \phi_1(t_2, u_2, v_2, w_2) \\ & - \phi_1(t_2, u_2, v_2, w_1)| \leq k_5(|t_1 - t_2| + \|v_1 - v_2\|_U) \|w_1 - w_2\|_U \quad (4.24) \\ & \forall t_{1,2} \in [0, T], \quad \forall u_{1,2}, v_{1,2}, w_{1,2} \in V_0, \end{aligned}$$

and, since by the continuous embedding  $V_0 \subset U$  there exists  $C_U > 0$  such that  $\|w\|_U \leq C_U \|w\| \quad \forall w \in V_0$ , it follows that  $\phi_1$  satisfies (4.19) with  $k_4 = k_5 C_U$ .

Taking in (4.24)  $w_1 = w$ ,  $w_2 = 0$ , by (4.16) with  $\theta = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_1(t_1, u_1, v_1, w) - \phi_1(t_2, u_2, v_2, w)| \leq k_5(|t_1 - t_2| + \|v_1 - v_2\|_U) \|w\|_U \\ & \forall t_{1,2} \in [0, T], \quad \forall u_{1,2}, v_{1,2}, w \in V_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

and using the continuous embedding  $V_0 \subset U$ , it follows that  $\phi_1$  satisfies (4.18) with  $k_3 = k_5 C_U$ .

Now, taking in (4.24)  $t_1 = t$ ,  $t_2 = 0$ ,  $u_1 = z$ ,  $v_1 = v$ ,  $u_2 = v_2 = 0$ , by (4.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_1(t, z, v, w_1) - \phi_1(t, z, v, w_2)| &\leq k_5(t + \|v\|_U)\|w_1 - w_2\|_U \\ \forall t \in [0, T], \forall z, v, w_{1,2} &\in V_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

As the embedding from  $V_0$  into  $U$  is compact, from (4.25) and (4.26) it follows that  $\phi_1$  is weakly sequentially continuous.

By Theorem 4.1 there exists a unique solution  $u = u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$  of the variational inequality (4.23).  $\square$

Also, we have the following result, which is similar to Lemma 3.3 in [9].

**Lemma 4.3** *Let  $r > 0$ ,  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in (W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Xi)))^2 \cap (L^2_+(\Xi_T))^2 \cap (B_r(\Xi_T))^2$  such that  $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) = \delta_1(0) = \delta_2(0) = 0$  and let  $u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}, u_{(\delta_1, \delta_2)}$  be the corresponding solutions of (4.23). Then there exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$ , independent of  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), (\delta_1, \delta_2)$ , such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$*

$$\begin{aligned} &|\dot{u}_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(t) - \dot{u}_{(\delta_1, \delta_2)}(t)|^2 + \|u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(t) - u_{(\delta_1, \delta_2)}(t)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|\dot{u}_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} - \dot{u}_{(\delta_1, \delta_2)}\|^2 ds \\ &\leq C_0 \int_0^t \{\phi_0(s, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dot{u}_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}, \dot{u}_{(\delta_1, \delta_2)}) - \phi_0(s, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dot{u}_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}, \dot{u}_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}) \\ &\quad + \phi_0(s, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dot{u}_{(\delta_1, \delta_2)}, \dot{u}_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}) - \phi_0(s, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dot{u}_{(\delta_1, \delta_2)}, \dot{u}_{(\delta_1, \delta_2)})\} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

## 5 An equivalent fixed point problem

Since  $\mathcal{D}(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$  is dense in  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$ , which is classically proved by using the convolution product with suitable mollifiers, it follows that for every  $\gamma \in L^2_+(\Xi_T) \cap B_{r_0}(\Xi_T)$ , there exist  $r > 0$  and a sequence  $(\gamma^n)_n$  in  $W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Xi)) \cap L^2_+(\Xi_T) \cap B_r(\Xi_T)$  such that  $\gamma^n(0) = 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\gamma^n \rightarrow \gamma$  in  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$ .

**Theorem 5.1** *Assume that (4.1), (4.2), (4.4)-(4.9) and (4.13) hold. For each  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in (L^2_+(\Xi_T) \cap B_{r_0}(\Xi_T))^2$ , let  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)_n$  be a sequence included in  $(W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Xi)))^2 \cap (L^2_+(\Xi_T))^2 \cap (B_r(\Xi_T))^2$ , for some  $r > 0$ , such that  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n) \rightharpoonup (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  in  $(L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi)))^2$ ,  $\gamma_1^n(0) = \gamma_2^n(0) = 0$ , and let  $u_{(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)}$  be the solution of (4.23) corresponding to  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(u_{(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)})_n$  is strongly convergent in  $W_0$ , its limit, denoted by  $u = u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$ , is independent of the chosen sequence converging to  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  with the same properties as  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)_n$  and is a solution of the following evolution variational*

inequality:  $u(0) = u^0, \dot{u}(0) = u^1,$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \dot{u}(T), v(T) - u(T) \rangle_{U' \times U} - (u^1, v(0) - u^0) \\
& + \int_0^T \{ -(\dot{u}, \dot{v} - \dot{u}) + a_0(u, v - u) + b_0(\dot{u}, v - u) \} dt \\
& + \int_0^T \{ \phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dot{u}, v - u + k\dot{u}) - \phi_0(t, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dot{u}, k\dot{u}) \} dt \\
& \geq \int_0^T \langle f_0, v - u \rangle dt \quad \forall v \in L^\infty(0, T; V_0) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; H_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

The proof, based on Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and on some compactness results established in [32], is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [9], so that will be not presented here.

Now, let  $\Phi : (L_+^2(\Xi_T) \cap B_{r_0}(\Xi_T))^2 \rightarrow 2^{(L_+^2(\Xi_T) \cap B_{r_0}(\Xi_T))^2 \setminus \{\emptyset\}}$  be the set-valued mapping defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= \Lambda_+(l(u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)})) \times \Lambda_-(l(u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)})) \\
&\text{for all } (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in (L_+^2(\Xi_T) \cap B_{r_0}(\Xi_T))^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where  $u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$  is the solution of the variational inequality (5.1) which corresponds to  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  by the procedure described in Theorem 5.1.

It is clear that if  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is a fixed point of  $\Phi$ , i.e.  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Phi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ , then  $(u_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is a solution of the problem  $Q_2$ .

Consider a new problem, which consists in finding a fixed point of the set-valued mapping  $\Phi$ , called also multivalued function or multifunction, which will provide a solution of problem  $Q_1$ .

The existence of a fixed point of the multifunction  $\Phi$  will be obtained by using a corollary of the Ky Fan's fixed point theorem [15], proved in [27] in the particular case of a reflexive Banach space.

**Definition 5.1** *Let  $Y$  be a reflexive Banach space,  $D$  a weakly closed set in  $Y$ , and  $F : D \rightarrow 2^Y \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  be a multivalued function.  $F$  is called sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous if  $z_n \rightharpoonup z$ ,  $y_n \in F(z_n)$  and  $y_n \rightharpoonup y$  imply  $y \in F(z)$ .*

**Proposition 5.1** ([27]) *Let  $Y$  be a reflexive Banach space,  $D$  a convex, closed and bounded set in  $Y$ , and  $F : D \rightarrow 2^D \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  a sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous multivalued function such that  $F(z)$  is convex for every  $z \in D$ . Then  $F$  has a fixed point.*

Note that since  $Y$  is a reflexive Banach space and  $D$  is convex, closed and bounded, we don't need to assume that  $Y$  is separable, see [4, 27].

**Theorem 5.2** *Assume that (2.1), (2.2), (4.1)-(4.9) and (4.13) hold. Then there exists  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in (L_+^2(\Xi_T) \cap B_{r_0}(\Xi_T))^2$  such that  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Phi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ . For each fixed point  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  of the multifunction  $\Phi$ ,  $(u_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \lambda)$  with  $\lambda = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$  is a solution of the problem  $Q_1$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [9] but, for the convenience of the reader, we shall present it. By Lemma 4.1, if  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Phi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ , then  $(u_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \lambda)$  is clearly a solution to the problem  $Q_1$ .

We apply Proposition 5.1 to  $Y = (L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi)))^2$ ,  $D = (L_+^2(\Xi_T) \cap B_{r_0}(\Xi_T))^2$  and  $F = \Phi$ .

The set  $D \subset (L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi)))^2$  is clearly convex, closed and bounded. Since for each  $\zeta \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi))$  the sets  $\Lambda_+(\zeta)$  and  $\Lambda_-(\zeta)$  are nonempty, convex, closed, and bounded by  $r_0$ , it follows that  $\Phi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  is a nonempty, convex and closed subset of  $D$  for every  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in D$ .

In order to prove that the multifunction  $\Phi$  is sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous, let  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n) \rightharpoonup (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ ,  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n) \in D$ ,  $(\eta_1^n, \eta_2^n) \in \Phi(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\eta_1^n, \eta_2^n) \rightharpoonup (\eta_1, \eta_2)$  and let us verify that  $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Phi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . By Theorem 5.1, there exists a sequence  $(\hat{\gamma}_1^n, \hat{\gamma}_2^n)_n$  in  $(W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Xi)))^2 \cap (L_+^2(\Xi_T))^2 \cap (B_r(\Xi_T))^2$ , for some  $r > 0$ , such that  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n) - (\hat{\gamma}_1^n, \hat{\gamma}_2^n) \rightharpoonup 0$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}_1^n(0) = \hat{\gamma}_2^n(0) = 0$  and

$$\|u_{(\hat{\gamma}_1^n, \hat{\gamma}_2^n)} - u_{(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)}\|_{W_0} \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5.3)$$

where  $u_{(\hat{\gamma}_1^n, \hat{\gamma}_2^n)}$  is the solution of (4.23) corresponding to  $(\hat{\gamma}_1^n, \hat{\gamma}_2^n)$ ,  $u_{(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)}$  is the solution of (5.1) corresponding to  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)$  and to the procedure which enables to define  $\Phi(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)$ .

As  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n) \rightharpoonup (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  in  $(L^2(0, T; L^2(\Xi)))^2$ , Theorem 5.1 implies also that  $u_{(\hat{\gamma}_1^n, \hat{\gamma}_2^n)} \rightarrow u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$  in  $W_0$ , and by (5.3) and the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$u_{(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)} \rightarrow u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} \quad \text{in } W_0. \quad (5.4)$$

By Lemma 4.1, the relation  $(\eta_1^n, \eta_2^n) \in \Phi(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)$  is equivalent to

$$\eta_1^n - \eta_2^n \in \Lambda(l(u_{(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)})) \quad (5.5)$$

which may be rewritten as

$$\underline{\kappa} \circ l_n \leq \eta_1^n - \eta_2^n \leq \bar{\kappa} \circ l_n \quad \text{a.e. in } \Xi_T, \quad (5.6)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $l_n := l(u_{(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)})$ , and also under the following equivalent form:

$$\int_{\omega} \underline{\kappa} \circ l_n \leq \int_{\omega} (\eta_1^n - \eta_2^n) \leq \int_{\omega} \bar{\kappa} \circ l_n, \quad (5.7)$$

for every measurable subset  $\omega \subset \Xi_T$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Using (5.4), (4.3), the semi-continuity of  $\underline{\kappa}$  and  $\bar{\kappa}$ , the relation (2.2), the convergence property  $\int_{\omega} (\eta_1^n - \eta_2^n) \rightarrow \int_{\omega} (\eta_1 - \eta_2)$ , and passing to limits according to Fatou's lemma (see also [27]), we obtain

$$\int_{\omega} \underline{\kappa} \circ l(u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}) \leq \int_{\omega} (\eta_1 - \eta_2) \leq \int_{\omega} \bar{\kappa} \circ l(u_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}), \quad (5.8)$$

for every measurable subset  $\omega \subset \Xi_T$ , which implies  $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Phi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ .  $\square$

## 6 Existence of a solution to the contact problem

**Theorem 6.1** *Under the assumptions of Section 3 there exists a solution of the problem  $P_v^1$ .*

**Proof.** We shall prove that there exists at least a solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \lambda_+, \lambda_-)$  of the problem  $P_v^2$  which will provide a solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \lambda)$  of the problem  $P_v^1$  with  $\lambda = \lambda_+ - \lambda_-$ .

We apply Theorem 5.2 to  $U_0 = \mathbf{H}_0^1 = H_0^1(\Omega^1; \mathbb{R}^d) \times H_0^1(\Omega^2; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $V_0 = \mathbf{V}$ ,  $U = \mathbf{H}^t$ ,  $H_0 = \mathbf{H}$ ,  $a_0 = a$ ,  $b_0 = b$ ,  $u^0 = \mathbf{u}_0$ ,  $u^1 = \mathbf{u}_1$ ,  $\phi_0 = \phi$ ,  $f_0 = \mathbf{f}$  and to the mapping  $l : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow L^2(\Xi)$  defined by  $l(\mathbf{v}) = [v_N] \ \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{A}_{ijkl}^\alpha, \mathcal{B}_{ijkl}^\alpha \in L^\infty(\Omega^\alpha) \ \forall i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, d, \alpha = 1, 2$ , we obtain (4.1).

The condition  $\text{meas}(\Gamma_U^\alpha) > 0$ , the ellipticity properties of the coefficients  $\mathcal{A}_{ijkl}^\alpha, \mathcal{B}_{ijkl}^\alpha$  and the Korn's inequality imply that there exist  $m_a^\alpha, m_b^\alpha > 0$  such that

$$a^\alpha(\mathbf{v}^\alpha, \mathbf{v}^\alpha) \geq m_a^\alpha \|\mathbf{v}^\alpha\|_{\mathbf{V}^\alpha}^2, \quad b^\alpha(\mathbf{v}^\alpha, \mathbf{v}^\alpha) \geq m_b^\alpha \|\mathbf{v}^\alpha\|_{\mathbf{V}^\alpha}^2 \quad \forall \mathbf{v}^\alpha \in \mathbf{V}^\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, 2,$$

and we obtain

$$a(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) \geq m_a \|\mathbf{v}\|^2, \quad b(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) \geq m_b \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \quad (6.1)$$

where  $m_a = \min(m_a^1, m_a^2)$ ,  $m_b = \min(m_b^1, m_b^2)$ .

Also, the properties (4.3)-(4.7), (4.9) and (4.13) can be easily verified.

Now, let  $C_{tr}$  be a positive constant such that  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{(L^2(\Xi))^d} \leq C_{tr} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^t}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^t$ . Using (3.3), the following estimates hold:

$$\forall r > 0, \quad \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_1, \delta_2 \in L_+^2(\Xi) \cap B_r(\Xi), \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathbf{V},$$

$$|\phi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w}_1) - \phi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) + \phi(\delta_1, \delta_2, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_2) - \phi(\delta_1, \delta_2, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1)|$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= | -(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2, w_{1N})_{L^2(\Xi)} + \int_{\Xi} \mu(\mathbf{v}_{1T}) (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) |\mathbf{w}_{1T}| d\xi \\
&\quad + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2, w_{2N})_{L^2(\Xi)} - \int_{\Xi} \mu(\mathbf{v}_{1T}) (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) |\mathbf{w}_{2T}| d\xi \\
&\quad - (\delta_1 - \delta_2, w_{2N})_{L^2(\Xi)} + \int_{\Xi} \mu(\mathbf{v}_{2T}) (\delta_1 + \delta_2) |\mathbf{w}_{2T}| d\xi \\
&\quad + (\delta_1 - \delta_2, w_{1N})_{L^2(\Xi)} - \int_{\Xi} \mu(\mathbf{v}_{2T}) (\delta_1 + \delta_2) |\mathbf{w}_{1T}| d\xi | \\
&\leq |(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \delta_1 + \delta_2, w_{1N} - w_{2N})_{L^2(\Xi)}| \\
&\quad + | \int_{\Xi} (\mu(\mathbf{v}_{1T}) - \mu(\mathbf{v}_{2T})) (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) (|\mathbf{w}_{1T}| - |\mathbf{w}_{2T}|) d\xi | \\
&\quad + | \int_{\Xi} \mu(\mathbf{v}_{2T}) (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - \delta_1 - \delta_2) (|\mathbf{w}_{1T}| - |\mathbf{w}_{2T}|) d\xi | \\
&\leq (\|\gamma_1 - \delta_1\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2 - \delta_2\|_{L^2(\Xi)}) \|w_{1N} - w_{2N}\|_{L^2(\Xi)} \\
&\quad + \int_{\Xi} C_{\mu} |\mathbf{v}_{1T} - \mathbf{v}_{2T}| (|\gamma_1| + |\gamma_2|) |\mathbf{w}_{1T} - \mathbf{w}_{2T}| d\xi \\
&\quad + \int_{\Xi} \mu(\mathbf{v}_{2T}) (|\gamma_1 - \delta_1| + |\gamma_2 - \delta_2|) |\mathbf{w}_{1T} - \mathbf{w}_{2T}| d\xi \\
&\leq (\|\gamma_1 - \delta_1\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2 - \delta_2\|_{L^2(\Xi)}) \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|_{(L^2(\Xi))^d} \\
&\quad + 2rC_{\mu} \int_{\Xi} |\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2| |\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2| d\xi \\
&\quad + M_{\mu} \int_{\Xi} (|\gamma_1 - \delta_1| + |\gamma_2 - \delta_2|) |\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2| d\xi \\
&\leq (\|\gamma_1 - \delta_1\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2 - \delta_2\|_{L^2(\Xi)}) \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|_{(L^2(\Xi))^d} \\
&\quad + 2rC_{\mu} \|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2\|_{(L^2(\Xi))^d} \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|_{(L^2(\Xi))^d} \\
&\quad + M_{\mu} (\|\gamma_1 - \delta_1\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2 - \delta_2\|_{L^2(\Xi)}) \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|_{(L^2(\Xi))^d} \\
&\leq (1 + M_{\mu} C_{tr}) (\|\gamma_1 - \delta_1\|_{L^2(\Xi)} + \|\gamma_2 - \delta_2\|_{L^2(\Xi)}) \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\iota}} \\
&\quad + 2rC_{\mu} C_{tr}^2 \|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\iota}} \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\iota}},
\end{aligned}$$

and so (4.8) is satisfied with  $k_2(r) = \max(1 + M_\mu C_{tr}, 2rC_\mu C_{tr}^2)$ , where  $M_\mu$  is an upper bound of  $\mu$ .  $\square$

Note that the same method can provide a unified approach to study more complex dynamic surface interactions, for which the evolution of the intensity of adhesion is governed by a variational inequality or a differential equation, see e.g. [33], [31], [12], [8].

## References

- [1] L.E. ANDERSSON, Existence results for quasistatic contact problems with Coulomb friction, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **42** (2000), 169-202.
- [2] L. BADEA and M. COCOU, Internal and subspace correction approximations of implicit variational inequalities, *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids*, published online (2013) doi: 10.1177/1081286513514075.
- [3] P. BOIERI, F. GASTALDI and D. KINDERLEHRER, Existence, uniqueness, and regularity results for the two-body contact problem, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **15** (1987), 251-277.
- [4] F. BROWDER, *Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 18, Part 2*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1976.
- [5] O. CHAU, W. HAN and M. SOFONEA, A dynamic frictional contact problem with normal damped response, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, **71** (2002), 159-178.
- [6] M. COCOU, Existence of solutions of a dynamic Signorini's problem with nonlocal friction in viscoelasticity, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **53** (2002), 1099-1109.
- [7] M. COCOU, *Sur la modélisation mathématique de conditions unilatérales en mécanique du contact*, in Proceedings 19ème Congrès Français de Mécanique, Marseille, CD Rom, Association Française de Mécanique, 2009.
- [8] M. COCOU, A class of implicit evolution inequalities and applications to dynamic contact problems, *Annals of the University of Bucharest (mathematical series)*, **4 (LXII)** (2013), 167-178.
- [9] M. COCOU, A class of dynamic contact problems with Coulomb friction in viscoelasticity, *to appear in Nonlinear Anal. RWA*.
- [10] M. COCOU and R. ROCCA, Existence results for unilateral quasistatic contact problems with friction and adhesion, *Math. Modelling and Num. Analysis*, **34** (2000), 981-1001.
- [11] M. COCOU and G. SCARELLA, Analysis of a dynamic unilateral contact problem for a cracked viscoelastic body, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **57** (2006), 523-546.
- [12] M. COCOU, M. SCHRYVE and M. RAOUS, A dynamic unilateral contact problem with adhesion and friction in viscoelasticity, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys. (ZAMP)*, **61** (2010), 721-743.
- [13] N. CRISTESCU and I. SULICIU, *Viscoplasticity*, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Editura Technica, Bucharest, 1982.
- [14] C. ECK, J. JARUŠEK and M. KRBEC, *Unilateral Contact Problems - Variational Methods and Existence Theorems*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2005.
- [15] K. FAN, Fixed points and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **38** (1952), 121-126.

- [16] W. HAN and M. SOFONEA, *Quasistatic Contact Problems in Viscoelasticity and Viscoplasticity*, *Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, vol. 30, American Mathematical Society, Providence, International Press, Somerville, 2002.
- [17] J. JARUŠEK, Dynamic contact problems with given friction for viscoelastic bodies, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **46** (121) (1996), 475-487.
- [18] N. KIKUCHI and J. ODEN, *Contact Problems in Elasticity : A Study of Variational Inequalities and Finite Element Methods*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1988.
- [19] K.L. KUTTLER, Dynamic friction contact problems for general normal and friction laws, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **28** (1997), 559-575.
- [20] K.L. KUTTLER and M. SHILLOR, Dynamic bilateral contact with discontinuous friction coefficient, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **45** (2001), 309-327.
- [21] K.L. KUTTLER and M. SHILLOR, Dynamic contact with Signorini's condition and slip rate depending friction, *Electronic J. Differential Equations*, **83** (2004), 1-21.
- [22] J.L. LIONS, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod-Gauthier Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [23] J.A.C. MARTINS and J.T. ODEN, Existence and uniqueness results for dynamic contact problems with nonlinear normal and friction interface laws, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **11** (1987), 407-428.
- [24] S. MIGÓRSKI, A. OCHAL and M. SOFONEA, *Nonlinear Inclusions and Hemivariational Inequalities*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [25] Z. NANIEWICZ and P.D. PANAGIOTOPOULOS, *Mathematical Theory of Hemivariational Inequalities and Applications*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1995.
- [26] P.D. PANAGIOTOPOULOS, *Hemivariational Inequalities: Applications in Mechanics and Engineering*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [27] P.J. RABIER and O.V. SAVIN, Fixed points of multi-valued maps and static Coulomb friction problems, *J. Elasticity*, **58** (2000), 155-176.
- [28] M. RAOUS, L. CANGÉMI and M. COCOU, A consistent model coupling adhesion, friction, and unilateral contact, *Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, **177** (1999), 383-399.
- [29] R. ROCCA and M. COCOU, Existence and approximation of a solution to quasistatic Signorini problem with local friction, *Int. J. Engrg. Sci.*, **39** (2001), 1233-1255.
- [30] R. ROCCA and M. COCOU, Numerical analysis of quasistatic unilateral contact problems with local friction, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, **39** (2001), 1324-1342.
- [31] M. SHILLOR, M. SOFONEA and J.J. TELEGA, *Models and Analysis of Quasistatic Contact*, *Lect. Notes Phys. 655*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004.
- [32] J. SIMON, Compact sets in the space  $L^p(0, T; B)$ , *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **146** (1987), 65-96.
- [33] M. SOFONEA, W. HAN and M. SHILLOR, *Analysis and Approximation of Contact Problems with Adhesion or Damage*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2006.

*Marius Cocou*

Aix-Marseille University, CNRS, LMA UPR 7051, Centrale Marseille

Address:

Laboratoire de Mécanique et d'Acoustique

31 chemin Joseph Aiguier, 13402 Marseille Cedex 20, France

E-mail: `cocou@lma.cnrs-mrs.fr`